

## Message Text

PAGE 01 USUN N 03570 01 OF 08 030059Z

67

ACTION IO-14

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 AF-10 ARA-16 EA-11 EUR-25 NEA-10 RSC-01

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10

PA-03 PRS-01 SPC-03 SS-15 USIA-15 ACDA-19 NIC-01

DRC-01 SCI-06 MC-02 EB-11 COA-02 INT-08 COME-00

MBFR-04 AEC-11 /223 W

----- 127758

R 030014Z OCT 73

FM USMISSION USUN NY

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 9782

INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY SEOUL

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

USLO PEKING

USCONSUL HONG KONG 1684

UNCLAS SECTION 1 OF 8 USUN 3570

E.O. 11652: NA

TAGS: PFOR UN CH

SUBJ: PRC SPEECH AT PLENARY MEETING OF 28TH SESSION OF UNGA

FOLLOWING IS TEXT OF CHINESE UN SPEECH GIVEN BY DEPUTY  
FONMIN CH'IAO KUAN-HUA ON OCTOBER 2, 1973:

QTE

MR. PRESIDENT,

SINCE THE 27TH SESSION OF THE UNITED NATIONS GENERAL  
ASSEMBLY, SOME NOTABLE EVENTS HAVE SUCCESSIVELY TAKEN PLACE ON  
THE INTERNATIONAL ARENA. FIRST, THERE WAS THE CESSATION OF THE  
WAR IN VIET NAM AND LAOS. SECONDLY, THERE WERE THE TALKS HELD  
AND AGREEMENTS CONCLUDED BETWEEN THE TWO SUPERPOWERS--THE  
UNITED STATES AND THE SOVIET UNION. THIRDLY, THERE WAS THE  
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 USUN N 03570 01 OF 08 030059Z

CONVENING OF THE CONFERENCE ON SECURITY AND COOPERATION IN  
EUROPE. IN THE MEANTIME, THE THIRD WORLD HAS FURTHER AWAKENED  
AND GROWN IN STRENGTH, AND THE AFRICAN, LATIN AMERICAN AND NON-  
ALIGNED COUNTRIES HELD A SERIES OF IMPORTANT INTERNATIONAL

CONFERENCES. WHAT IS THE CHARACTERISTIC OF THE PRESENT WORLD SITUATION? IS THE INTERNATIONAL SITUATION REALLY MOVING TOWARDS A GENERAL DETENTE? THIS IS A QUESTION IN WHICH PEOPLE ARE INTERESTED. THE DELEGATION OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA WOULD LIKE TO STATE ITS VIEWS ON THIS QUESTION AND A NUMBER OF OTHER QUESTIONS WHICH THE CURRENT SESSION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY IS CONFRONTED WITH.

FIRST. WHAT IS THE CHARACTERISTIC OF THE PRESENT WORLD SITUATION?

THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT HAS LONG HELD THAT OUR WORLD IS NOW GOING THROUGH A PROCESS OF GREAT TURBULENCE, GREAT DIVISION AND GREAT REALIGNMENT. THE BASIC CONTRADICTIONS IN THE WORLD ARE ALL SHARPENING, AND IN PARTICULAR THE CONTRADICTIONS BETWEEN IMPERIALISM AND COLONIALISM ON THE ONE HAND AND THE OPPRESSED NATIONS AND PEOPLES ON THE OTHER AND THE CONTRADICTIONS AMONG THE IMPERIALIST COUNTRIES, ESPECIALLY THOSE BETWEEN THE TWO SUPERPOWERS. ALTHOUGH NO NEW WORLD WAR HAS BROKEN OUT SINCE WORLD WAR II, LOCAL WARS RESULTING FROM IMPERIALIST AGGRESSIONS HAVE NEVER CEASED. THE GREAT VICTORY OF THE HEROIC VIETNAMESE PEOPLE'S WAR OF RESISTANCE AGAINST US. AGGRESSION AND FOR NATIONAL SALVATION HAS ONCE AGAIN PROVED THAT IMPERIALISM AND ALL REACTIONARIES ARE PAPER TIGERS. A SMALL NATION CAN DEFEAT A BIG ONE AND A WEAK NATION CAN DEFEAT A STRONG ONE, SO LONG AS THEY DARE TO STRUGGLE, ARE GOOD AT STRUGGLE AND PERSEVERE IN STRUGGLE. IT IS NOT THE PEOPLE WHO FEAR IMPERIALISM; IT IS IMPERIALISM WHICH FEARS THE PEOPLE. REVOLUTION IS THE MAIN TREND IN THE WORLD TODAY. NOW THAT THE WAR IN VIET NAM HAS ENDED, CAN IT BE ASSUMED THAT THE WORLD WILL HENCEFORTH BE TRANQUIL? OBVIOUSLY NOT. WHEN THE KOREAN WAR WAS ENDED IN 1953, SOME PEOPLE THOUGHT THAT NO MORE GUN-SHOTS WOULD BE HEARD IN THE WORLD. NOT LONG AFTERWARDS, HOWEVER, THE SUEZ WAR BROKE OUT AND THEN THE VIET NAM WAR STARTED. AND EVEN TODAY, THE WAR IN INDOCHINA HAS NOT STOPPTED COMPLETELY FOR THERE IS STILL FIGHTING IN CAMBODIA. TENSION IN THE MIDDLE EAST HAS NOT UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 USUN N 03570 01 OF 08 030059Z

RELAXED IN THE LEAST. THE COLONIALISTS AND RACISTS ARE CARRYING OUT ARMED SUPPPRESSION AGAINST THE AFRICAN PEOPLE, AND THE AFRICAN PEOPLE ARE DEVELOPING ARMED RESISTANCE AGAINST THEM. THE AGGRESSION, SUBVERSION, CONTROL AND INTERFERENCE AGAINST COUNTRIES IN AFRICA, ASIA AND LATIN AMERICA BY THE SUPERPOWERS ARE CONTINUING WITHOUT END. A RECENT CASE IN POINT IS THE MILITARY COUP D'ETAT IN CHILE. PRESIDENT SALVADOR ALLENDE DIED A MARTYR AT HIS POST. WE EXPRESS PROFOUND CONDOLENCES ON HIS HEROIC DEATH. AT THE SAME TIME, WE HOLD ONE SHOULD NOT FORGET HOW HARMFUL THE ABSURD THEORY OF SO-CALLED "PEACEFUL TRANSITION" IS TO THE ANTI-IMPERIALIST REVOLUTIONARY STRUGGELS OF THE ASIAN, AFRICAN AND LATIN AMERICAN PEOPLE, A THEORY WHICH HAS BEEN ADVOCATED BY ANOTHER SUPERPOWER.

TO DISMEMBER A SOVEREIGN COUNTRY BY ARMED FORCE AND TO  
LEGALIZE AND PERPETUATE THE DIVISION OF A COUNTRY HAVE ALSO BECOME  
A TENDENCY ON THE PART OF THE BIG POWERS IN THEIR ATTEMPT TO  
DOMINATE THE WORLD. IN THE ECONOMIC FIELD, THE GAP IS  
WIDENING BETWEEN THE RICH AND DEVELOPED COUNTRIES AND THE  
POOR AND DEVELOPING COUNTRIES, AND EVEN AMONG THE DEVELOPED  
COUNTRIES THERE EXIST MANY CONTRADICTIONS, AND HENCE DETENTE  
AMONG THEM IS FAR FROM BEING THE CASE. THE RECENT FOURTH  
CONFERENCE OF THE HEADS OF STATE AND GOVERNMENT OF NON-  
ALIGNED COUNTRIES STRONGLY CONDEMNED RACISM, ZIONISM,  
COLONIALISM, IMPERIALISM AND HEGEMONISM AND STRONGLY DEMANDED  
A CHANGE IN THE PRESENT STATE OF AFFAIRS IN THE WORLD,  
DEMONSTRATING A FURTHER AWAKENING OF THE ASIAN, AFRICAN AND  
LATIN AMERICAN PEOPLES. IN A WORD, WE CONSIDER THAT THE  
CHARACTERISTIC OF THE PRESENT SITUATION IS ONE OF GREAT  
DISORDER THROUGHOUT THE WORLD AND NOT TRANQUILLITY. AND  
THE MAIN TREND AMIDST THIS GREAT DISORDER IS THAT COUNTRIES WANT  
INDEPENDENCE, NATIONS WANT LIBERATION, AND THE PEOPLE WANT  
REVOLUTION.

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 USUN N 03570 02 OF 08 030118Z

67

ACTION IO-14

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 AF-10 ARA-16 EA-11 EUR-25 NEA-10 RSC-01

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10

PA-03 PRS-01 SPC-03 SS-15 USIA-15 ACDA-19 NIC-01

DRC-01 SCI-06 MC-02 EB-11 COA-02 INT-08 COME-00

MBFR-04 AEC-11 /223 W

----- 127841

R 030014Z OCT 73

FM USMISSION USUN NEWYORK

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 9783

INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY SEOUL

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

USLO PEKING

AMCONSUL HONG KONG

UNCLAS SECTION 2 OF 8 USUN 3570

SECOND. WHY IS THERE NO TRANQUILLITY IN THE WORLD  
TODAY?

WE HAVE ALWAYS HELD THAT ALL COUNTRIES IN THE WORLD,  
BIG OR SMALL, SHOULD BE EQUAL; THAT ALL COUNTRIES,

IRRESPECTIVE OF THEIR SOCIAL SYSTEMS, SHOULD ESTABLISH NORMAL STATE RELATIONS ON THE FIVE PRINCIPLES OF MUTUAL RESPECT FOR TERRITORIAL INTEGRITY AND SOVEREIGNTY, MUTUAL NON-AGGRESSION, NON-INTERFERENCE IN EACH OTHER'S INTERNAL AFFAIRS, EQUALITY AND MUTUAL BENEFIT, AND PEACEFUL CO-EXISTENCE; AND THAT INTERNATIONAL DISPUTES SHOULD BE SETTLED PEACEFULLY ON THE BASIS OF THESE PRINCIPLES WITHOUT RESORTING TO THE USE OR THREAT OF FORCE. THIS SHOULD APPLY TO RELATIONS BETWEEN BIG POWERS, TO RELATIONS BETWEEN A BIG POWER AND A SMALL COUNTRY, AND ALL THE MORE SO TO RELATIONS BETWEEN A STRONG AND A WEAK, OR BETWEEN A RICH AND A POOR COUNTRY. IT WAS ON THESE PRINCIPLES THAT CHINA UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 USUN N 03570 02 OF 08 030118Z

STARTED TO IMPROVE HER RELATIONS WITH THE UNITED STATES AND ESTABLISHED DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS WITH JAPAN. IN THEIR JOINT COMMUNIQUE ISSUED IN SHANGHAI, CHINA AND THE UNITED STATES FURTHER DECLARED THAT THEY SHOULD NOT SEEK HEGEMONY IN THE ASIA-PACIFIC REGION AND WERE OPPOSED TO EFFORTS BY ANY OTHER COUNTRY, GROUP OF COUNTRIES TO ESTABLISH SUCH HEGEMONY. THE SAME PRINCIPLE WAS REAFFIRMED IN THE SINO-JAPANESE STATEMENT ON THE ESTABLISHMENT OF DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS BETWEEN THEM. IN OUR VIEW, THE ABOVE-MENTIONED PRINCIPLES ARE THE MINIMUM CRITERIA OF EQUALITY OF ALL COUNTRIES IN INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS AND INDICATE THE CORRECT WAY TO THE RELAXATION OF INTERNATIONAL TENSION.

AS SOVEREIGN STATES, THE UNITED STATES AND THE SOVIET UNION ARE FULLY ENTITLED TO TAKE MEASURES THEY DEEM APPROPRIATE TO IMPROVE AND DEVELOP THEIR BILATERAL RELATIONS. HOWEVER, WE CANNOT BUT POINT OUT THAT THE AGREEMENT ON THE PREVENTION OF NUCLEAR WAR SIGNED BY THEM GOES FAR BEYOND THE SCOPE OF BILATERAL RELATIONS. ONE MAY ASK: WHO HAS GIVEN THEM THE RIGHT TO ENTER INTO WHAT THEY CALL "URGENT CONSULTATIONS" IN CASE OF A DISPUTE BETWEEN EITHER OF THE PARTIES AND OTHER COUNTRIES AND EVEN BETWEEN ANY OTHER TWO COUNTRIES? THE PHRASE ABOUT DISPUTES WHICH "APPEAR TO INVOLVE THE RISK OF A NUCLEAR CONFLICT" IS OPEN TO ANY INTERPRETATION, AND THEIR SO-CALLED "URGENT CONSULTATIONS" ARE BOUND TO BE FOLLOWED BY ACTIONS DICTATED BY THEIR OWN INTERESTS. DOES NOT THIS MEAN THAT THEY MAY INTERFERE AT WILL IN THE RELATIONS AMONG ALL COUNTRIES ON THE STRENGTH OF THE HUGE NUMBERS OF UNCLEAR WEAPONS IN THEIR POSSESSION? CHINA ABSOLUTELY WILL NOT GO BEGGING FOR NUCLEAR PROTECTION FROM ANY COUNTRY, NOR IS SHE AFRAID OF NUCLEAR THREAT FROM ANY COUNTRY. BUT WE FEEL DUTY BOUND TO STATE OUR VIEWS ON THIS MATTER SINCE IT CONCERNS ALL THE PEOPLE OF THE WORLD.

THE SIGNING OF SUCH AN AGREEMENT BY THE SOVIET UNION AND THE UNITED STATES IS BY NO MEANS ACCIDENTAL BUT IS DERIVED

FM THE SO-CALLED PRINCIPAL WHICH THEY AGREED UPON IN  
1972 THAT THE SOVIET UNION AND THE UNITED STATES HAVE  
"SECURITY INTERESTS BASED ON THE PRINCIPLE OF EQUALITY".  
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 USUN N 03570 02 OF 08 030118Z

WHAT IS MEANT BY "SECURITY INTERESTS BASED ON THE PRINCIPLE  
OF EQUALITY"? TO PUT IT BLUNTLY, IT MEANS RIVALRY FOR  
WORLD HEGEMONY -- WHEREVER ONE GOES, THE OTHER WILL DO  
THE SAME. WHAT THEY ARE DOING NOW IS SIMPLY TO WRAP UP  
THIS CONTENT IN THE FORM OF AN AGREEMENT.

IN FACT, THIS AGREEMENT IS A MERE SCRAP OF PAPER.  
IT CONTAINS NO EXPLICIT UNDERTAKING ON THE NON-USE OF NUCLEAR  
WEAPONS, STILL LESS DOES IT ENVISAGE THE COMPLETE  
PROHIBITION AND THOROUGH DESTRUCTION OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS.  
THE U.S. GOVERNMENT IS MORE FRANK WHEN IT STATED THAT THE  
AGREEMENT IS ONLY A GENERAL STATEMENT OF POLICY WHICH DOES  
NOT INVOLVE ANY PARTICULAR POSITIVE ACTIONS THAT EITHER  
SIDE HAS TO TAKE, AND POINTED OUT THAT AGREEMENTS ARE NOT  
ALWAYS MAINTAINED AND THERE IS NOTHING SELF-ENFORCING  
ABOUT THIS DOCUMENT.

HOWEVER, THE SOVIET LEADERS HAVE MADE A GREAT FANFARE,  
LAUDING THIS AGREEMENT TO THE SKIES, ALLEGING THAT IT USHERED  
IN "A NEW ERA" IN INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS AND OPENED UP  
"HISTORICAL VISTAS FOR STRENGTHENING UNIVERSAL SECURITY  
AS A WHOLE," AND THAT IT WAS "INDEED OF HISTORIC IMPORTANCE  
FOR ALL MANKIND". THEY HAVE THEIR MOTIVES FOR SO  
EULOGIZING THE AGREEMENT. ONE MAY RECALL KHRUSHCHOV'S  
FAMOUS REMARKS: "EVEN A TINY SPARK CAN CAUSE A WORLD  
CONFLAGRATION," AND "WE (THE SOVIET UNION AND THE UNITED  
STATES) ARE THE STRONGEST COUNTRIES IN THE WORLD, AND IF  
WE UNITE FOR PEACE THERE CAN BE NO WAR. THEN IF ANY MADMAN  
WANTED WAR, WE WOULD BUT HAVE TO SHAKE OUR FINGERS TO  
WARN HIM OFF." IN THIS WAY, IF THE SOVIET UNION COULD BE BOUND  
TOGETHER WITH THE UNITED STATES, WOULD NOT THE WHOLE  
WORLD HAVE TO CRINGE TO THEM? HOWEVER, IN YOUR VIEW,  
THINGS MAY NOT NECESSARILY TURN OUT THAT WAY.

IT IS NOT SO EASY FOR THE SOVIET UNION TO BIND HERSELF  
TO THE UNITED STATES. SHORTLY AFTER THE SIGNING OF THE  
AGREEMENT, THE SOVIET UNION PRESSED FORWARD WITH HER UNDER-  
GROUND NUCLEAR TESTS AND HASTENED THE DEVELOPMENT OF  
MISSILES WITH MULTIPLE WARHEADS. THE UNITED STATES WILL  
NOT TAKE THIS LYING DOWN.

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 USUN N 03570 03 OF 08 030134Z

ACTION IO-14

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 AF-10 ARA-16 EA-11 EUR-25 NEA-10 RSC-01

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10

PA-03 PRS-01 SPC-03 SS-15 USIA-15 ACDA-19 NIC-01

DRC-01 SCI-06 MC-02 EB-11 COA-02 INT-08 COME-00

MBFR-04 AEC-11 /223 W

----- 127921

R 030014Z OCT 73

FM USMISSION USUN NY

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 9784

INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

USLO PEKING

AMEMBASSY SEOUL

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

USCONSUL HONG KONG 1686

UNCLAS SECTION 3 OF 8 USUN 3570

WHY? BECAUSE THE DESPERATE STRUGGLE FOR NUCLEAR SUPERIORITY AND WORLD HEGEMONY STILL DOES ON. THE CONTENTION BETWEEN THE SOVIET UNION AND THE UNITED STATES NOW EXTENDS ALL OVER THE WORLD. A VIVID PROOF CAN BE FOUND IN THE RECENT SUBVERSION OF A GOVERNMENT IN ASIA AND ANOTHER IN SOUTH AMERICA. THEIR SCRAMBLE IS BECOMING INCREASINGLY FIERCE. THIS IS THE REASON WHY THERE IS NO TRANQUILLITY IN THE WORLD TODAY. DO, WHAT PEACEFUL COEXISTENCE IS THERE TO SPEAK OF? THERE IS ONLY A TRAVESTY OF PEACEFUL COEXISTENCE; THE SUBSTANCE IS COEXISTENCE IN RIVALRY. BUT WHETHER SUCH COEXISTENCE CAN LAST IS OF COURSE ANOTHER QUESTION.

THE SOVIET LEADERS NOISILY PROCLAIM THAT AS A "SOCIALIST" STATE, THE SOVIET UNION IS THE "NATURAL AND SUREST ALLY" OF THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES. IN THE PAST, SOME PEOPLE IN CHINA ALSO BELIEVED THIS. BECAUSE THEY SAW THE SOVIET UNION AS THE HOMELAND OF THE GREAT LENIN AND THE CHINESE REVOLUTION A UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 USUN N 03570 03 OF 08 030134Z

CONTINUATION OF THE OCTOBER REVOLUTION. THEREFORE, HOW COULD THE SOVIET UNION, AS A SOCIALIST STATE, FAIL TO GIVE THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES WHOLE-HEARTED INTERNATIONALIST ASSISTANCE? BUT SINCE KHURSHCHOV ROSE TO POWER, THANKS TO THE LONG AND DIRECT EXPERIENCE WE GAINED AS A RESULT OF THE SOVIET UNION'S DEMAND FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A JOINT FLEET IN THE CHINA SEA, WITHDRAWAL OF EXPERTS, TEARING UP OF CONTRACTS, BORDER INTRUSIONS, SUBVERSIONS, ETC., WE CAME TO REALIZE THAT THIS WAS NOT THE CASE, AND THAT WHAT THE SOVIET UNION PRACTISED WAS NOT INTERNATIONALISM, BUT GREAT-POWER CHAUVINISM, NATIONAL EGOISM

AND TERRITORIAL EXPANSIONISM. THEREFORE, WE WILL NOT BLAME THOSE FRIENDS WHO HAVE SO FAR FAILED TO SEE THIS FOR LACK OF EXPERIENCE. HOW CAN A SOCIALIST TURN INTO AN IMPERIALIST? THERE IS IN FACT NOTHING STRANGE ABOUT IT IF ONE GOES A LITTLE INTO THE HISTORY OF THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNIST MOVEMENT. WASN'T KARL KAUTSKY ONCE A SOMEWHAT WELL-KNOWN MARXIST? BUT HE LATER BETRAYED MARXISM AND CAPITULATED TO IMPERIALISM. IT WAS LENIN WHO PASSED THE FINAL HISTORICAL VERDICT ON KAUTSKY IN HIS WELL-KNOWN PAMPHLET THE PROLETARIAN REVOLUTION AND THE RENEGADE KAUTSKY. PEOPLE CAN CHANGE, SO CAN A STATE. IT HAS CHANGED, AND WHAT CAN YOU DO ABOUT IT?

LENIN POINTED OUT: "WE JUDGE A PERSON NOT BY WHAT HE SAYS OR THINKS OF HIMSELF BUT BY HIS ACTIONS." THIS APPLIES TO A STATE AS WELL. WHAT HAS THE SOVIET GOVERNMENT DONE TO OTHER COUNTRIES IN THESE YEARS? THIS IS CLEAR TO THE BROAD MASSES OF THE PEOPLE OF CZECHOSLOVAKIA, EGYPT AND PAKISTAN, TO THE PEOPLE OF CAMBODIA WHO ARE FIGHTING DAUNTLESSLY, AND TO OTHER PEOPLES WHO HAVE BEEN SUBJECTED TO ITS AGGRESSION, SUBVERSION, CONTROL, INTERFERENCE OR BULLYING. THE ACTIONS OF THE SOVIET GOVERNMENT HAVE AMPLY SHOWN THAT IT IS "SOCIALIST IN WORDS, IMPERIALIST IN DEEDS", AS LENIN SAID.

THE SOVIET-US. AGREEMENT ON THE PREVENTION OF NUCLEAR WAR CANNOT HODWINK MANY PEOPLE OR INTIMIDATE THE PEOPLES OF THE WORLD, BUT CAN ONLY AROUSE INDIGNATION, MISGIVINGS AND DISILLUSIONMENT. THE TIDE IS MOUNTING AGAINST THE HEGEMONISM AND POWER POLITICS PRACTISED BY THE SUPERPOWERS.

THIRD. WHO IS REALLY AGAINST DETENTE?

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 USUN N 03570 03 OF 08 030134Z

SHORTLY AFTER THE WAR IN VIET NAM CAME TO AN END, THE U.S. GOVERNMENT PRONOUNCED 73 TO BE "THE YEAR OF EUROPE". THIS WAS FOLLOWED BY THE FIRST STAGE OF THE CONFERENCE ON SECURITY AND COOPERATION IN EUROPE--A CONFERENCE ADVOCATED BY THE SOVIET GOVERNMENT FOR MANY YEARS. ALL THIS INDICATES THAT EUROPE IS THE FOCUS OF CONTENTION BETWEEN THE TWO SUPERPOWERS--THE UNITED STATES AND THE SOVIET UNION AND THAT THE SO-CALLED EUROPEAN SECURITY CONFERENCE IS NOTHING BUT ONE OF THE FORMS FAR BEYOND THE SCOPE TO WHICH THE TWO SUPERPOWERS WISHED TO CONFINE IT. AT THAT CONFERENCE, MANY COUNTRIES STATED POINTEDLY THAT DEEDS AND NOT EMPTY PROMISES ARE CALLED FOR IN THE MATTER OF SECURITY; THE EUROPEAN SECURITY MUST BE BASED ON THE SAFEGUARDING OF NATIONAL INDEPENDENCE AND SOVEREIGNTY; THAT ONE MUST NOT BECOME MENTALLY DISARMED AND OFF GUARD SIMPLY BECAUSE THE EUROPEAN SECURITY CONFERENCE HAS TAKEN PLACE; AND THAT DISBANDED, FOREIGN MILITARY BASES DISMANTLED, AND FOREIGN TROOPS WITHDRAWN, SO THAT THE RELATIONS BETWEEN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES MAY BE ESTABLISHED ON THE PRINCIPLES OF MUTUAL AND NON-INTERFERENCE IN EACH OTHER'S INTERNAL AFFAIRS.

MOREOVER, SOME COUNTRIES POINTED OUT THAT THE SECURITY OF THE MEDITERRANEAN AND EUROPE WAS INSEPARABLE AND THAT THERE COULD BE NO SECURITY FOR EUROPE WHEN THE MEDITERRANEAN IS UNDER THE ARMED THREAT OF, AND THE CONTENTION BY, THE TWO SUPERPOWERS. ALL THIS IS TANTAMOUNT TO A DIRECT BLOW AT THOSE WHO SEEK BY MEANS OF THIS CONFERENCE TO CONSOLIDATE THE OCCUPATION OF MANY EUROPEAN COUNTRIES, WHICH RESULTED FROM WORLD WAR II OR THE EVENTS THEREAFTER, AND TO PROCEED FURTHER TO DISINTEGRATE WESTERN EUROPE AND DOMINATE THE WHOLE OF EUROPE. THE EUROPEAN SECURITY CONFERENCE IS STILL GOING ON. BUT JUDGING FROM THE PROCEEDINGS OF ITS FIRST STAGE, IT WILL FURTHER EXPOSE THE AMBITIONS OF THAT SUPERPOWER WHICH SEEKS TO DIVIDE AND DISINTEGRATE WESTERN EUROPE.

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 USUN N 03570 04 OF 08 030146Z

67

ACTION IO-14

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 AF-10 ARA-16 EA-11 EUR-25 NEA-10 RSC-01

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10

PA-03 PRS-01 SPC-03 SS-15 USIA-15 ACDA-19 NIC-01

DRC-01 SCI-06 MC-02 EB-11 COA-02 INT-08 COME-00

MBFR-04 AEC-11 /223 W

----- 127988

R 030014Z OCT 73

FM USMISSION USUN NEWYORK

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 9785

INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY SEOUL

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

USLO PEKING

AMCONSUL HONG KONG

UNCLAS SECTION 4 OF 8 USUN 3570

WHILE STEPPING UP HER ARMS EXPANSION AND WAR PREPARATIONS AND HER WORLD WIDE CONTENTION WITH THE UNITED STATES FOR SPHERES OF INFLUENCE, THE SOVIET UNION IS CLAMOURING FOR "SPREADING THE ZONE OF RELAXATION TO THE WHOLE WORLD". ACCODINGLY, SHE HAS LATELY PICKED UPON ONCE AGAIN THE LONG-IGNORED TRASH KNOWN AS THE ASIAN COLLECTIVE SECURITY SYSTEM. THIS IS MOST AMUSING. IT REMINDS US OF THE AMERICAN BY THE NAME OF JOHN FOSTER DULLES WHO, AFTER THE WAR IN INDOCHINA WAS BROUGHT TO AN END BY THE FIRST GENEVA CONFERENCE IN 1954, HASTILY RIGGED UP THE SO-CALLED SOUTHEAST ASIA TREATY ORGANIZATION, WHICH WAS



DIRECTED AGAINST CHINA AND WHOSE MEMBERS WERE MOSTLY NON-SOUTHEAST ASIAN COUNTRIES. THE SOVIET UNION IS A EUROPEAN COUNTRY AND THE CHIEF OF THE WARSAW PACT ALLIANCE. WHY SHOULD SHE BE SO EAGERLY CONCERNED ABOUT THE "COLLECTIVE SECURITY" OF ASIAN COUNTRIES? HAS THE GHOST OF JOHN FOSTER DULLES GONE TO THE KREMLIN? ACTUALLY, WOULD IT NOT BE UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 USUN N 03570 04 OF 08 030146Z

LESS DEVIOUS AND MORE DIRECT SIMPLY TO EXPAND THE WARSAW PACT TO ASIA?

LATELY, THE SOVIET LEADERS HAVE TRIED TO PIN AN ADDITIONAL LABEL ON CHINA, NAMELY "OPPONENT OF DETENTE". AS THE CHINESE SAYING GOES, THIS IS PUTTING MR. CHANG'S HAT ON MR. LI'S HEAD. SINCE YOU SAY YOU ARE SO ANXIOUS TO RELAX WORLD TENSION, WHY DON'T YOU SHOW FOUR GOOD FAITH BY DOING A THING OR TWO -- FOR INSTANCE, WITHDRAW YOUR ARMED FORCES FROM CZECHOSLOVAKIA OR THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF MONGOLIA AND RETURN THE FOUR NORTHERN ISLANDS TO JAPAN?

WE HOLD THAT IMPERIALISM MEANS WAR. THERE IS DANGER OF WAR SO LONG AS IMPERIALISM EXISTS. TODAY, WHEN THE VARIOUS TYPES OF BASIC CONTRADICTIONS IN THE WORLD ARE SHARPENING, THE DANGER OF A NEW WORLD WAR STILL EXISTS, AND THE PEOPLE OF ALL COUNTRIES MUST BE PREPARED AND MUST NOT LET THEMSELVES BE MISLED BY TEMPORARY AND SUPERFICIAL FACADE OF DETENTE. ONLY THUS CAN WE BETTER STRIVE FOR A BRIGHT FUTURE OF THE WORLD. IN THE FINAL ANALYSIS, THE DESTINY OF MANKIND IS DECIDED BY THE PEOPLE IN THEIR HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS WHO PERSEVERE IN STRUGGLE AND UNITY, AND NOT BY THE ONE OR TWO SUPERPOWERS.

FOURTH. THE CAMBODIAN QUESTION.

THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT RESOLUTELY DENOUCES THE U.S. GOVERNMENT FOR CONTINUING TO SUPPORT IN VARIOUS WAYS THE PUPPET REGIME IN PHNOM PENH AND WANTENLY INTERFERE IN THE AFFAIRS OF CAMBODIA. THE REGIME OF THE TRAITOROUS LON NOL CLIQUE, WHICH IS NOW INSTALLED IN PHNOM PENH, WAS IMPOSED ON THE KHMER PEOPLE BY THE U.S. IMPERIALISTS AND THEIR ALLIES AND HAS BEEN ILLEGAL FROM ITS VERY INCEPTION. THE ROYAL GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL UNION OF CAMBODIA UNDER THE LEADERSHIP OF HEAD OF STATE PRINCE NORODOM SIHANOUK IS THE SOLE LEGAL GOVERNMENT OF CAMBODIA. THE PEOPLE'S ARMED FORCES OF NATIONAL LIBERATION OF CAMBODIA UNDER ITS LEADERSHIP HAVE LIBERATED OVER 90 PER CENT OF CAMBODIA'S TERRITORY WITH OVER 80 PER CENT OF THE POPULATION. THE ROYAL GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL UNION OF CAMBODIA HAS BEEN RECOGNIZED BY NEARLY 50 COUNTRIES. THE PARTICIPANTS OF THE RECENT UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 USUN N 03570 04 OF 08 030146Z

CONFERENCE OF NON-ALIGNED COUNTRIES IN ALGIERS HAVE DECLARED THAT THE ROYAL GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL UNION UNDER THE LEADERSHIP OF PRINCE NORODOM SIHANOUK IS THE ONLY LEGAL GOVERNMENT OF CAMBODIA, AND EARNESTLY REQUESTED ALL COUNTRIES WHICH LOVE PEACE AND JUSTICE TO GIVE IT FORMAL RECOGNITION. THIS IS A VOICE OF JUSTICE. THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT HOLDS THAT THE CONTINUED USURPATION BY THE TRAITOROUS LON NOL CLIQUE OF THE SEAT IN THE UNITED NATIONS IS A CONTEMPT FOR ALL COUNTRIES THAT UPHOLD JUSTICE, FOR THE FOURTH CONFERENCE OF NON-ALIGNED COUNTRIES AND FOR THE UNITED NATIONS ITSELF. THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT FIRMLY MAINTAINS THAT THE PRESENT SESSION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY SHOULD TAKE A DECISION IMMEDIATELY TO EXPEL THE REPRESENTATIVES OF THE TRAITOROUS LON NOL CLIQUE FROM THE UNITED NATIONS AND RESTORE TO THE ROYAL GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL UNION OF CAMBODIA UNDER THE LEADERSHIP OF HEAD OF STATE PRINCE NORODOM SIHANOUK ITS RIGHTFUL SEAT IN THE UNITED NATIONS.

FIFTH. THE KOREAN QUESTION.

THERE ARE NOW BEFORE THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY TWO DRAFT RESOLUTIONS ON THE KOREAN QUESTION -- ONE SPONSORED BY THE UNITED STATES, BRITAIN, JAPAN AND OTHER COUNTRIES, THE OTHER SPONSORED BY ALGERIA, CHINA AND OTHER COUNTRIES. REGARDING THE FORMER DRAFT RESOLUTION, THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT CONSIDERS THAT THE POSITION FOR RETAINING THE UNITED NATIONS COMMAND AND THE U.S. FORCES IN SOUTH KOREA AND FOR THE ENTRY OF BOTH NORTH AND SOUTH KOREA INTO THE UNITED NATIONS IS UNREASONABLE AND CONTRARY TO THE JOINT STATEMENT OF NORTH AND SOUTH KOREA ISSUED ON JULY 4, 1972, ALTHOUGH IT CONTAINS THE POSITIVE ELEMENT OF DISSOLVING THE SO-CALLED "COMMISSION FOR THE UNIFICATION AND REHABILITATION OF KOREA".

THE WITHDRAWAL OF ALL FOREIGN FORCES FROM KOREA AND THE PEACEFUL SETTLEMENT OF THE KOREAN QUESTION ARE LONG OVERDUE. ACCORDING TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE KOREAN ARMISTICE AGREEMENT OF JULY 1953, A HIGH-LEVEL POLITICAL CONFERENCE FOR THE

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 USUN N 03570 05 OF 08 031249Z

53

ACTION IO-14

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 EA-11 ISO-00 IOE-00 SSO-00 AF-10 ARA-16

NEA-10 RSC-01 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 PRS-01 SPC-03 SS-15 USIA-15

ACDA-19 NIC-01 DRC-01 SCI-06 MC-02 EB-11 COA-02 INT-08

COME-00 MBFR-04 AEC-11 /223 W

----- 001069

R 030014Z OCT 73

FM USMISSION USUN NY

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 9786

INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY SEOUL

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

USLO PEKING

USCONSUL HONG KONG 1688

UNCLAS SECTION 5 OF 8 USUN 3570

SETTLEMENT OF THE KOREAN QUESTION OUGHT TO HAVE MET WITHIN THREE MONTHS AFTER THE ARMISTICE AGREEMENT BECOMES EFFECTIVE. THE CONFERENCE WAS NOT HELD ONLY BECAUSE OF THE OBSTRUCTIONS PUT UP BY THE U.S. SIDE AT THE TIME. SUBSEQUENTLY, THE QUESTION WAS AGAIN DISCUSSED AT THE 1954 GENEVA CONFERENCE. THE THEN U.S. SECRETARY OF STATE MR. JOHN FOSTER DULLES PEREMPTORILY REJECTED ALL REASONABLE PROPOSALS, THEREBY BLOCKING ONCE AGAIN A SOLUTION TO THE QUESTION OF THE WITHDRAWAL OF ALL FOREIGN FORCES FROM KOREA AND THE PEACEFUL SETTLEMENT OF THE KOREAN QUESTION. THE UNITED STATES WAS THEN ABSOLUTELY UNWILLING TO WITHDRAW ITS FORCES FROM SOUTH KOREA. WHAT COULD BE DONE ABOUT IT? WELL, IF YOU WOULD NOT WITHDRAW, WE WOULD. IN 1958 THE CHINESE PEOPLE'S VOLUNTEERS UNILATERALLY AND UNCONDITIONALLY WITHDREW FROM THE DEMOCRATIC PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF KOREA. CONSEQUENTLY, THERE ARE NO FOREIGN FORCES ON ONE SIDE IN KOREA, WHILE LARGE NUMBERS OF FOREIGN FORCES, MAINLY US. FORCES, ARE STATIONED ON THE OTHER SIDE. THIS MOST UNREASONABLE STATE OF AFFAIRS CANNOT BUT CONSTITUTE UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 USUN N 03570 05 OF 08 031249Z

AN OBSTACLE TO THE INDEPENDENT AND PEACEFUL REUNIFICATION OF KOREA.

IS SOUTH KOREA SO LACKING IN NATIONAL SELF-RESPECT THAT IT MUST RELY ON THE SUPPORT OF FOREIGN FORCES? OBVIOUSLY, SUCH A STATE OF AFFAIRS CANNOT BE TOLERATED BY THE PEOPLE IN SOUTH KOREA. SUBSEQUENTLY, THANKS TO THE INITIATIVE OF PRESIDENT KIL IL SUNG OF THE DEMOCRATIC PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF KOREA, A JOINT STATEMENT OF NORTH AND SOUTH KOREA ON THE INDEPENDENT AND PEACEFUL REUNIFICATION OF THE COUNTRY WAS ISSUED ON JULY 4, 1972, LEADING TO THE START OF A DIALOGUE BETWEEN THE NORTH AND THE SOUTH. THIS WAS A BIG STEP TOWARD THE WITHDRAWAL OF ALL FOREIGN FORCES FROM KOREA AND THE PEACEFUL SETTLEMENT OF THE REUNIFICATION OF KOREA BY THE KOREANS THEMSELVES. WITH THE ISSUANCE OF THIS STATEMENT, THE KOREAN ARMISTICE AGREEMENT SIGNED 19 YEARS AGO, TO A GREAT EXTENT, CEASED TO PLAY THE ROLE IT ONCE HAD. THE KOREANS IN THE NORTH AND THE SOUTH HAVE THEMSELVES AGREED UPON REFRAINING FROM

COMMITTING ARMED PROVOCATIONS, BIG OR SMALL, AND UPON TAKING ACTIVE MEASURES FOR PREVENTING INCIDENTS OF UNEXPECTED MILITARY CONFLICTS. IS THIS NOT THE BEST GUARANTEE FOR THE MAINTENANCE OF THE ARMISTICE?

IT IS CAPTIOUS TO SAY THAT DISSOLUTION OF THE UNITED NATIONS COMMAND AND WITHDRAWAL OF FOREIGN FORCES WOULD NULLIFY THE 1953 ARMISTICE AGREEMENT. IT SHOULD BE RECALLED THAT THE KOREAN ARMISTICE AGREEMENT WAS SIGNED BETWEEN THE KOREAN PEOPLE'S ARMY AND THE CHINESE PEOPLE'S VOLUNTEERS ON THE ONE HAND AND THE UNITED NATIONS COMMAND ON THE OTHER. ACCORDING TO THAT KING OF REASONING, WOULD NOT THE WITHDRAWAL OF THE CHINESE PEOPLE'S VOLUNTEERS MEAN THAT THE KOREAN ARMISTICE AGREEMENT HAD LONG BEEN NULLIFIED? SINCE THE CHINESE PEOPLE'S VOLUNTEERS COULD WITHDRAW FROM KOREA, WHY CANNOT THE FOREIGN FORCES IN SOUTH KOREA UNDER THE SIGNBOARD OF THE UNITED NATIONS COMMAND DO THE SAME?

THE CONTINUED PRESENCE OF THE SO-CALLED UNITED NATIONS COMMAND AND OF FOREIGN FORCES IN SOUTH KOREA IS AT VARIANCE WITH THE PRINCIPLE AGREED ON BY THE TWO SIDES IN KOREA THAT "REUNIFICATION SHOULD BE ACHIEVED INDEPENDENTLY, WITHOUT RELIANCE UPON OUTSIDE FORCE OR ITS INTERFERENCE." IN POINT OF FACT, IT IS THE VERY PRESENCE OF THIS OUTSIDE FORCE THAT HAS EMOBLDENED THE SOUTH KOREAN AUTHORITIES TO REJECT A NUMBER OF REASONABLE PROPOSALS PUT FORWARD BY THE DEMOCRATIC PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF KOREA, THUS CAUSING UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 USUN N 03570 05 OF 08 031249Z

THE DIALOGUE BETWEEN THE NORTH AND THE SOUTH TO BOG DOWN. THE KIM DEA JUNG INCIDENT WHICH OCCURRED NOT LONG AGO HAS SHOWN HOW ADJSECTLY THE SOUTH KOREAN AUTHORITIES DEPEND UPON FOREIGN FORCES. IN ORDER THAT CONDITIONS MAY BE CREATED TO ACCELERATE THE INDEPENDENT AND PEACEFUL REUNIFICATION OF KOREA, THE UNITED NATIONS COMMAND SHOULD AGREE TO DISBAND AND ALL FOREIGN FORCES STATIONED IN SOUTH KOREA SHOULD AGREE TO WITHDRAW.

AS FOR THE ENTRY OF BOTH NORTHAND SOUTH KOREA INTO THE UNITED NATIONS, THIS IS PALAINLY AN ATTEMPT TO LEGALIZE AND PERPETUATE THE DIVISION OF KOREA, WHICH RUNS COUNTER TO THE PRINCIPLE AGREED UPON BETWEEN THE NORTH AND THE SOUTH THAT "GREAT NATIONAL UNITY SHOULD BE PROMOTED FIRST OF ALL AS ONE NATION, TRANSCENDING THE DIFFERENCES OF IDEOLOGY, IDEAL AND SYSTEM." IN HIS FIVE PROPOSITIONS BUT FORWARD ON JUNE 23 THIS YEAR, PRESIDENT KIM IL SUNG OF THE DEMOCRATIC PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF KOREA HAS POINTED OUT THAT THE NORTH AND THE SOUTH SHOULD NOT ENTER THE UN. SEPARATELY AND THAT IF THEY WANT TO ENTER THE UN. BEFORE REUNIFICATION OF THE COUNTRY IS ACHIEVED, THEY SHOULD ENTER IT AS ONE STATE AT LEAST UNDER THE NAME OF THE CONFEDERAL REPUBLIC OF KORYO AFTER THE CONFEDERATION IS ENFORCED. THIS PROPOSITION IS ENTIRELY REASONABLE AND MERITS THE SYMPATHY AND SUPPORT OF ALL COUNTRIES THAT UPHOLD JUSTICE.

SIXTH. THE QUESTION OF BANGLADESH.

AT THE TIME OF THE 26TH SESSION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY IN 1971, THE SOVIET UNION SUPPORTED INDIA IN DISMEMBERING PAKISTAN BY ARMED FORCE. THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY AND THEN THE SECURITY COUNCIL ADOPTED RESOLUTIONS BY OVERWHELMING MAJORITIES CALLING FOR CEASEFIRE, TROOP WITHDRAWAL AND REPATRIATION OF PRISONERS OF WAR BY INDIA AND PAKISTAN. AT ITS 27TH SESSION IN 1972, THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY FURTHER ADOPTED TWO INTERDEPENDENT RESOLUTIONS CALLING FOR THE REPATRIATION OF PAKISTAN PRISONERS OF WAR AND EXPRESSING THE DESIRE FOR THE ADMISSION OF BANGLADESH TO THE UNITED NATIONS. IT WAS NOT UNTIL AUGUST 28 THIS YEAR THAT AN AGREEMENT ON THE REPATRIATION OF PRISONERS OF WAR AND CIVILIANS WAS REACHED BETWEEN INDIA AND PAKISTAN. THIS AGREEMENT

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 USUN N 03570 06 OF 08 030204Z

67

ACTION IO-14

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 AF-10 ARA-16 EA-11 EUR-25 NEA-10 RSC-01

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10

PA-03 PRS-01 SPC-03 SS-15 USIA-15 ACDA-19 NIC-01

DRC-01 SCI-06 MC-02 EB-11 COA-02 INT-08 COME-00

MBFR-04 AEC-11 /223 W

----- 128055

R 030014Z OCT 73

FM USMISSION USUN NEWYORK

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 9787

INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY SEOUL

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

USLO PEKING

AMCONSUL HONG KONG

UNCLAS SECTION 6 OF 8 USUN 3570

HAS COME MUCH TOO LATE, BUT ITS ULTIMATE CONCLUSION IS TO BE WELCOMED. THE AGREEMENT REACHED IS ON PAPER, AND THERE WILL HAVE TO BE A PROCESS BEFORE IT CAN BE TURNED INTO REALITY. COMPLICATIONS MAY YET ARISE. THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT HOLDS THAT THE QUESTION OF ADMITTING BANGLADESH INTO THE UNITED NATIONS CAN BE CONSIDERED ONCE THE RELEVANT RESOLUTIONS OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY AND THE SECURITY COUNCIL ARE IMPLEMENTED WITHOUT QUALIFICATION. BUT THIS CAN BE DONE ONLY AFTER THE THOROUGH IMPLEMENTATION OF THE U.N. RESOLUTIONS, AND DEFINITELY NOT BEFORE.

SEVENTH. THE MIDDLE EAST QUESTION.

ALTHOUGH THE TWO SUPERPOWERS ARE BOTH TRUMPETING ABOUT A GENERAL WORLD TREND TOWARDS DETENTE, A TENSE STALEMATE OF "NO WAR, NO PEACE" STILL PREVAILS IN THE MIDDLE EAST. WHEN THE SOVIET UNION DISMEMBERED PAKISTAN IN 1971,  
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 USUN N 03570 06 OF 08 030204Z

NO ONE SAID THERE WAS THE RISK OF A NUCLEAR WAR. NEITHER HAS ANYONE MADE A SIMILAR WARNING IN CONNECTION WITH THE CURRENT U.S. INTERVENTION IN CAMBODIA. IT IS ONLY IN THE MIDDLE EAST THAT, AT THE MENTION OF AN ATTEMPT BY ARAB COUNTRIES TO REPULSE ISRAELI AGGRESSION AND RECOVER THEIR LOST TERRITORIES, THE AIR IS FILLED WITH CRIES ABOUT A RISK OF NUCLEAR WAR BETWEEN THE TWO SUPERPOWERS. WHY IS THIS SO? BECAUSE THE SITUATION OF "NO WAR NO PEACE" CREATED AND MAINTAINED BY THE TWO SUPERPOWERS SERVES THEM BEST IN THEIR SCRAMBLE FOR SPHERES OF INFLUENCE, OIL RESOURCES AND STRATEGIC POSITIONS IN THE MIDDLE EAST. IN APPEARANCE THE TWO SUPERPOWERS ARE EACH SUPPORTING ONE OF THE ANTAGONISTIC SIDES, BUT IN ESSENCE THEY ARE LIKE TWO CLAY FIGURINES WHICH HAVE BEEN KNEADED TOGETHER AND THEN REMOULDED, SO THAT THERE IS SOMETHING OF EACH IN THE OTHER. TAKE THE CASE OF THE AGGRESSOR ISRAEL. CAN SHE BE SO UNBRIDLED IN HER TRUCULENCE IF SHE RECEIVES ONLY SUPPLIES OF AMERICAN WEAPONS BUT NONE OF SOVIET MANPOWER? IN OUR OPINION, THE SO-CALLED RISK OF A NUCLEAR WAR HAS BEEN DELIBERATELY FABRICATED TO SCARE PEOPLE. IT IS FUTILE TO COUNT ON THE SUPERPOWERS TO BRING ABOUT A SETTLEMENT OF THE MIDDLE EAST QUESTION. NOR WILL THE SITUATION BE CHANGED BY ANY RESOLUTION ADOPTED BY THE UNITED NATIONS. THE ONLY WAY OUT IS TO ACT INDEPENDENTLY AND RELY ON ONE'S OWN EFFORTS. CHINA HAS NEVER HAD ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE ISRAELI ZIONISTS. CHINA FIRMLY SUPPORTS THE PALESTINIAN AND OTHER ARAB PEOPLES IN THEIR JUST STRUGGLE AGAINST ISRAELI ZIONISM. WE BELIEVE THAT, SO LONG AS THEY UPHOLD UNITY AND PERSEVERE IN STRUGGLE, THE PALESTINIAN AND OTHER ARAB PEOPLES, WITH THE SUPPORT OF THE PEOPLE OF THE WHOLE WORLD, WILL CERTAINLY SURMOUNT ALL THE DIFFICULTIES ON THEIR ROAD OF ADVANCE, RECOVER THEIR SACRED TERRITORIES AND REGAIN THEIR NATIONAL RIGHTS.

EIGHTH. THE QUESTION OF OPPOSING COLONIALISM.

AN EXCELLENT SITUATION PREVAILS IN AFRICA. IN THE PAST YEAR, THE AFRICAN PEOPLE HAVE ACHIEVED A SERIES OF NEW VICTORIES IN THEIR STRUGGLE TO WIN AND SAFEGUARD NATIONAL INDEPENDENCE AND OPPOSE RACISM, COLONIALISM, NEO-COLONIALISM, IMPERIALISM AND HEGEMONISM. THROUGH THEIR  
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 USUN N 03570 06 OF 08 030204Z

STRUGGLE, THEY HAVE COME TO REALIZE MORE AND MORE THE NECESSITY OF ARMED STRUGGLE AND MUTUAL SUPPORT. THE 10TH ASSEMBLY OF THE HEADS OF STATE AND GOVERNMENT OF THE ORGANIZATION OF AFRICAN UNITY HELD LAST MAY ISSUED THE RESOUNDING CALL TO "ELIMINATE ALL FORMS OF COLONIALISM ON THE AFRICAN CONTINENT". THE CONFERENCE DOCUMENTS FULLY MANIFESTED THE UNITY AND COOPERATION OF THE AFRICAN COUNTRIES AND THEIR MILITANCY AGAINST THE COMMON ENEMIES, AS WELL AS THE DETERMINATION OF THE AFRICAN PEOPLE IN THE TERRITORIES WHICH HAVE NOT YET BECOME INDEPENDENT TO EXPAND THEIR ARMED STRUGGLE FOR NATIONAL LIBERATION. WITH IMPERIALIST AND SUPERPOWER SUPPORT AND CONNIVANCE, THE RACIST REGIMES AND COLONIALIST AUTHORITIES IN AFRICA ARE RESORTING TO MORE INSIDIOUS AND BRUTAL MEANS IN THEIR DESPERATE STRUGGLE TO BUTTRESS THEIR TOTTERING RULE. THEY HAVE LONG REFUSED TO IMPLEMENT THE U.N. RESOLUTIONS WHICH REFLECT THE JUST DEMANDS OF THE AFRICAN PEOPLE. WHAT DESERVES SPECIAL ATTENTION IS THAT WHILE THE OLD-LINE COLONIALISTS ARE ON THE DECLINE, NEO-COLONIALISTS OF DIFFERENT SHADES ARE TRYING TO TAKE THEIR PLACE BY MEANS OF CUNNING AND DECEPTIVE MANOEUVRES. THE SUPERPOWERS ARE SOWING DISSENSION AMONG THE AFRICAN COUNTRIES SO AS TO FISH IN TROUBLED WATERS. THE AFRICAN PEOPLE ARE STILL FACED WITH THE TASK OF A PROTRACTED, COMPLICATED AND TORTUOUS STRUGGLE. AFRICA BELONGS TO THE GREAT AFRICAN PEOPLE. THE CHINESE PEOPLE STAND FIRMLY BY THE AFRICAN PEOPLE. WE RESOLUTELY SUPPORT THE PEOPLES OF MOZAMBIQUE, ANGOLA, AZANIA, NAMIBIA, ZIMBABWE, GUINEA (BISSAU), SPANISH SAHARA AND OTHER REGIONS IN THEIR JUST STRUGGLE FOR NATIONAL LIBERATION. WE WARMLY HAIL AND GIVE RECOGNITION TO THE NEW-BORN REPUBLIC OF GUINEA (BISSAU). WE RESOLUTELY SUPPORT THE JUST STRUGGLES OF ALL AFRICAN COUNTRIES AGAINST AGGRESSION, SUBVERSION AND THE SOWING OF DISCORD BY COLONIALISM AND NEO-COLONIALISM AND IN DEFENCE OF STATE SOVEREIGNTY AND AFRICAN UNITY. WE ARE CONFIDENT THAT THE AWAKENING AFRICAN PEOPLE WILL CONTINUE TO WIN NEW VICTORIES IN THE COURSE OF THEIR COMING STRUGGLE.

NINTH. THE QUESTION OF OPPOSING MARITIME HEGEMONY.

THE DETERMINED STRUGGLE FOR 200-NAUTICAL MILE MARITIME  
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 USUN N 03570 06 OF 08 030204Z

RIGHTS INITIATED BY LATIN AMERICAN COUNTRIES HAS WON INCREASING SUPPORT AMONG THE NUMEROUS SMALL AND MEDIUM-SIZED COUNTRIES. BOTH THE ASSEMBLY OF THE HEADS OF STATE AND GOVERNMENT OF THE O.A.U. AND THE CONFERENCE OF THE HEADS OF STATE AND GOVERNMENT OF NON-ALIGNED COUNTRIES, HELD EARLIER THIS YEAR,

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 USUN N 03570 07 OF 08 030220Z

67

ACTION IO-14

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 AF-10 ARA-16 EA-11 EUR-25 NEA-10 RSC-01

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10

PA-03 PRS-01 SPC-03 SS-15 USIA-15 ACDA-19 NIC-01

DRC-01 SCI-06 MC-02 EB-11 COA-02 INT-08 COME-00

MBFR-04 AEC-11 /223 W

----- 128153

R 030014Z OCT 73

FM USMISSION USUN NY

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 9788

INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY SEOUL

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

USLO PEKING

USCONSUL HONG KONG 1690

UNCLAS SECTION 7 OF 8 USUN 3570

EXPRESSLY DECLARED THAT A COASTAL STATE IS ENTITLED TO ESTABLISH AN EXCLUSIVE ECONOMIC ZONE OR A ZONE OF NATIONAL JURISDICTION WITH WITH MAXIMUM LIMIT OF 200 NAUTICAL MILES. AND LANDLOCKED STATES ARE ALSO ENTITLED TO SHARE SEA-BED AND FISHERY RESOURCES. ON THIS PROBLEM OF MARITIME RIGHTS, THE NUMEROUS SMALL AND MEDIUM-SIZED COUNTRIES ARE WAGING A GIGANTIC AND VIGOROUS STRUGGLE AGAINST THE MARITIME HEGEMONY OF THE SUPERPOWERSM WITH A VIEW TO SEEKING HEGEMONY ON THE SEAS AND OCEANS, THE SUPERPOWERS ARE TRYING IN VAIN TO PRESERVE THE OUT-DATED LAW OF THE SEA AND ARE DOING THEIR UTMOST TO RESTRICT THE TERRITORIAL SEA AND SCOPE OF JUSISDICTION OF ALL COUNTRIES. THEY INSIST THAT THE 3-NAUTICAL-MILE OR 12-NAUTICAL-MILE RULE FOR THE TERRITORIAL SEA IS SACRED AND INVOLABLE, ARGUING THAT THE EXPANSION OF THE TERRITORIAL SEA AND THE ZONE OF JUSISDICTION WILL NARROW DOWN THE OPEN SEA. THIS IS A BLUFF. WHAT SACREDNESS AND INVOLABILITY\* THE 3-NAUTICAL-MILE RULE WAS A MAN-MADE STIPULATION,AND SO WAS THE 12-NAUTICAL-MILE RULE. THEY REFLECT THE REALITY OF THE TIME WHEN THE SEAS AND OCEANS UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 USUN N 03570 07 OF 08 030220Z

WERE CONTROLLED BY COLONIALISM AND IMPERIALISM. WHAT IS THERE THAT IS SO SACRED AND INVOLABLE? AS TO THE ASSERTION THAT THE LARGER THE TERRITORIAL SEAS AND THE AREAS OF JURISDICTION, THE SMALLER THE OPEN SEA, THE QUESTION MUST BE ASKED: WHAT IS THE OPEN SEA? THE SO-CALLED OPEN SEA HAS IN FACT ALWAYS BEEN THE



"PRIVATE SEA" OF A FEW STRONG NAVAL POWERS. THE NUMEROUS SMALL AND MEDIUM-SIZED COUNTRIES HAVE NOW STOOD UP; THEY CONSTITUTE THE MAJORITY AND DEMAND AN CHANGE IN THE SO-CALLED LAW OF THE SEA, WHICH IS ADVANTAGEOUS ONLY TO THE IMPERIALISTS. WHAT FAULT CAN ONE FIND WITH THIS? IN A PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY THE SOVIET UNION AND HERE ALLIES TO THE U.N. INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT BOARD ON JUNE 2, 1972, THEY SAID THAT "THE SOVEREIGNTY OVER THE NATURAL RESOURCES IS DEPENDING TO A GREAT EXTENT UPON THE CAPABILITY OF UTILIZING THESE RESOURCES BY THE INDUSTRY OF THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES..." AT THE MEETING OF THE SEA-BED COMMITTEE HELD IN GENEVA ON AUGUST 22, 1973, A SOVIET REPRESENTATIVE SAID THAT THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES COULD NOT INCREASE THEIR CATCH EVEN IF THEY HAD A 200-NAUTICAL MILE ZONE, BECAUSE THEY LACKED EVEN THE TECHNICAL KNOW-HOW, FISHING CAPACITY, ETC. THESE STATEMENTS SIMPLY MEAN THAT THE GREATER THE MIGHT, THE GREATER THE RIGHT. THIS IS THE LOGIC OF IMPERIALISM, PURE AND SIMPLE. WHY ARE THE SUPERPOWERS SO VIOLENTLY OPPOSED TO THE POSITION OF THE SMALL AND MEDIUM-SIZED COUNTRIES FOR ENLARGING THE TERRITORIAL SEA AND THE AREA OF JURISDICTION? IT IS NOT DIFFICULT TO SEE THE UNDERLYING MOTIVE IF ONLY ONE TAKES A LOOK AT THE CONTENTION BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND THE SOVIET UNION FOR MARITIME HEGEMONY IN THE MEDITERRANEAN, THE PERSIAN GULF, THE INDIAN OCEAN, THE CARIBBEAN, THE PACIFIC AND THE ATLANTIC OCEAN AND THEIR RIVALRY IN SETTING UP BASES AND PLUNDERING THE FISHING AND SEA-BED RESOURCES THERE. WHEN THE TERRITORIAL SEA AND THE AREA OF JURISDICTION ARE ENLARGED, THEIR "PRIVATE SEA" OVER WHICH THEY ACT THE OVERLORDS WILL CONTRACT. HOW CAN THE SUPERPOWERS NOT DESPERATELY OPPOSED THIS? HOWEVER, THEIR OPPOSITION WILL EVENTUALLY PROVE FUTILE. SO LONG AS THE NUMEROUS SMALL AND MEDIUM-SIZED COUNTRIES ARE UNITED BY SEEKING COMMON GROUND ON MAJOR POINTS WHILE RESERVING DIFFERENCES ON MINOR ONES, AND PERSIST IN TENACIOUS AND PROTRACTED EFFORTS, THEIR STRUGGLE AGAINST MARITIME HEGEMONY IS BOUND TO TRIUMPH.

THE STRUGGLE AGAINST MARITIME HEGEMONY IS AN IMPORTANT ASPECT OF THE STRUGGLE WAGED BY THE NUMEROUS DEVELOPING COUNTRIES OF UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 USUN N 03570 07 OF 08 030220Z

ASIA, AFRICA AND LATIN AMERICA TO PROTECT NATIONAL RESOURCES AND DEVELOP THE NATIONAL ECONOMY. IT IS ALSO A NEW FOCUS IN THE CURRENT STRUGGLE AGAINST HEGEMONISM. IN OTHER ECONOMIC SPHERES, THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES ARE ALSO MORE CLOSELY COORDINATING THEIR ACTIONS AND STRENGTHENING THEIR UNITY IN THE STRUGGLE AGAINST EXPLOITATION, PLUNDER, DUMPING AND MONOPOLY BY IMPERIALISM AND BIG-POWER HEGEMONISM. THE BILATERAL AND REGIONAL ECONOMIC COOPERATION OF THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES IS GROWING, AND THE VARIOUS ORGANIZATIONS OF RAW MATERIAL-EXPORTING COUNTRIES ARE EXPANDING. MORE AND MORE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES HAVE COME TO REALIZE THAT IN ORDER TO CONSOLIDATE POLITICAL INDEPENDENCE, IT IS IMPERATIVE TO ATTAIN ECONOMIC INDEPENDENCE, AND THAT IN ORDER TO DEVELOP THE NATIONAL ECONOMY, IT IS IMPERATIVE TO RELY ON THEIR OWN EFFORTS AND STRENGTHEN THEIR MUTUAL SUPPORT AND COOPERATION.

IT WAS BY PLUNDERING AND EXPLOITING THE PEOPLE OF ASIA, AFRICA AND LATIN AMERICA THAT COLONIALISM AND IMPERIALISM ACCUMULATED THEIR FORTUNES. THEREFORE, THE PEOPLE OF THESE CONTINENTS ARE FULLY ENTITLED TO DEMAND REPAYMENT OF THIS DEBT. HOWEVER, IN THE LIGHT OF CHINA'S OWN EXPERIENCE, THEY WILL NEVER REPAY THE DEBT AND, WHAT IS MORE, THEY WILL CREAT MORE DIFFICULTIES FOR THOSE COUNTRIES WHICH ARE DEFENDING THEIR NATIONAL INDEPENDENCE AND DEVELOPING THE NATIONAL ECONOMY. IT IS FUTILE TO HARBOUR ANY UNREALISTIC NOTIONS ABOUT THEM. WE MUST PERSIST IN OPPOSING IMPERIALISM, COLONIALISM AND HEGEMONISM. WE MUST RELY ON OURSELVES, RELY ON THE PEOPLE, WAGE ARDUOUS STRUGGLE AND EXERT SUSTAINED EFFORTS. WE BELIEVE THAT, BY SO DOING, THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES WILL CERTAINLY BECOME PROSPEROUS.

TENTH. THE QUESTION OF DISARMAMENT.

THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT HAS ALWAYS STOOD FOR DISARMAMENT. BUT WHAT WE STAND FOR IS GENUINE DISARMAMMENT, AND NOT PHONEY DISARMEMENT, STILL LESS EMPTY TALK ABOUT DISARMAMENT COUPLED WITH ACTUAL ARMS EXPANSION EVERYDAY. AT PRESENT, WE FACE THE HARSH FACT THAT THE SUPERPOWERS AREHAWKING DISARMAMENT, AND THE SOVIET UNION IN PARTICULAR IS MOST ENERGETIC ABOUT IT. NEARLY EVERY YEAR SHE COMES UP WITH SOME SORT OF PROPOSAL ON DISARMAMENT AT THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY. LAST YEAR, SHE BOASTED OF

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 USUN N 03570 08 OF 08 030239Z

67

ACTION IO-14

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 AF-10 ARA-16 EA-11 EUR-25 NEA-10 RSC-01

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10

PA-03 PRS-01 SPC-03 SS-15 USIA-15 ACDA-19 NIC-01

DRC-01 SCI-06 MC-02 EB-11 COA-02 INT-08 COME-00

MBFR-04 AEC-11 /223 W

----- 128223

R 030015Z OCT 73

FM USMISSION USUN NY

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 9789

INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY SEOUL

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

USLO PEKING

USCONSUL HONG KONG 1691

UNCLAS SECTION 8 OF 8 USUN 3570

THE GREAT SIGNIFICANCE OF HER AGREEMENT WITH THE UNITED STATES ON THE SO-CALLED LIMITATION OF STRATEGIC NUCLEAR WEAPONS. AND THIS YEAR, SHE IS EVEN MORE VEHEMENT IN LAUDING THE SO-CALLED EPOCH-MAKING SIGNIFICANCE OF THE SOVIET-US AGREEMENT ON THE PREVENTION OF NUCLEAR WAR. MEANWHILE, SHE HAS SANCTIMONIOUSLY PROPOSED A TEN-PERCENT REDUCTION OF THE MILITARY BUDGET OF THE FIVE PERMANENT MEMBERS OF THE SECURITY COUNCIL AND THE UTILIZATION OF PART OF THE FUNDS THUS SAVED TO PROVIDE ASSISTANCE TO DEVELOPING COUNTRIES. THIS IS KHRUSHCHOV'S OLD WARE, WHICH HAS BEEN PEDDLED FOR MORE THAN A DOZEN YEARS AND HAS NOT DECEIVED MANY PEOPLE. HOW ARE MILITARY BUDGETS TO BE ASSESSED? TO STUDY THIS PROBLEM ALONE, A COMMITTEE WILL HAVE TO BE SET UP AND WORK FOR MANY YEARS. CAN MILITARY BUDGETS REALLY BE REDUCED? ANYWAY, NOBODY HAS EVER SEEN THIS HAPPEN. WE THINK IT IS BETTER TO STOP THIS KIND OF EMPTY TALK, AND STOP IT QUICKLY. IT YOU ARE REALLY THE "NATURAL AND SUREST ALLY" OF THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES, UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 USUN N 03570 08 OF 08 030239Z

YOU SHOULD COME UP TO THIS ROSTRUM AND DECLARE OPENLY THAT YOUR MILITARY AID TO ALL DEVELOPING COUNTRIES WHICH IS USED TO RESIST FOREIGN AGGRESSION IS GRATIS AND FREE OF CHARGE, THAT YOU WILL NOT BE A MERCHANT OF DEATH, AND THAT ALTHOUGH YOU HAVE CHARGED THEM PREVIOUSLY, YOU WILL NOT PRESS FOR THE PAYMENT OF DEBT AND INTEREST, ESPECIALLY NOT TO DO SO IN THE CASE OF THE STRUGGLING ARAB COUNTRIES. BE FORTHRIGHT AND SIMPLE\* DECLARE PUBLICLY THAT YOU WILL CANCEL ALL THE MILITARY DEBTS, THAT THERE IS NO NEED FOR REPAYMENT AND THAT YOU WILL LET THEM BE GONE WITH THE WIND\* WON'T THAT BE MORE PRACTICAL?

WHAT GENERAL DISARMAMENT? ACTUALLY, IN THE WORLD TODAY, IT IS THE TWO SUPERPOWERS THAT ARE ENGAGED IN AN UNCONTROLLABLE ARMS RACE. THEIR AIM IS NUCLEAR SUPERIORITY AND THE NUCLEAR HEGEMONY WITH WHICH TO DOMINATE THE WORLD. TO PUT IT BLUNTLY, AND DISARMAMENT MUST FIRST OF ALL BE THE DISARMING OF THESE TWO SUPERPOWERS. BUT CAN THIS BE REALIZED? ON THIS POINT, THE US GOVERNMENT IS SOMEWHAT MORE CANDID THAN THE SOVIET GOVERNMENT. IT ADMITS THAT MILITARY EXPENDITURES CANNOT BE REDUCED IN THE CONTEXT OF RIVALRY BETWEEN THE TWO HEGEMONIC POWERS. AS TO THE NUMEROUS SMALL AND MEDIUM-SIZED COUNTRIES, THE REAL PROBLEM THEY FACE IS DEFINITELY NOT DISARMAMENT, BUT THE STRENGTHENING OF THEIR NECESSARY AND INDEPENDENT DEFENCE CAPABILITIES. EVEN WESTERN EUROPE IS INADEQUATE IN DEFENCE CAPABILITIES. HOW MUCH MORE SO ARE THE LARGE NUMBER OF SMALL AND MEDIUM-SIZED COUNTRIES OF ASIA, AFRICA AND LATIN AMERICA? WHAT HAVE THEY GOT TO DISARM? TO TALK ABOUT GENERAL DISARMAMENT IN THESE CIRCUMSTANCES IS GIBBERISH. IF SUCH PROPOSALS WERE REALLY ACCEPTED, IT WOULD MEAN TO ASK THE WHOLE WORLD TO COMPLETELY DISARM ITSELF IN FRONT OF THE TWO SUPERPOWERS AND ALLOW ITSELF TO BE ORDERED ABOUT.

THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT IS IN FAVOUR OF COVENING A WORLD CONFERENCE ON GENUINE DISARMAMENT. BUT THERE MUST BE THE

NECESSARY PER-CONDITIONS AND CLEAR AIMS FOR THE CONFERENCE.  
THAT IS, ALL NUCLEAR COUNTRIES, AND PARTICULARLY THE TWO  
NUCLEAR SUPERPOWERS, THE SOVIET UNION AND THE UNITED STATES, MUST  
FIRST OF ALL UNDERTAKE THE UNEQUIVOCAL OBLIGATION THAT AT NO  
TIME AND IN NO CIRCUMSTANCES WILL THEY BE THE FIRST TO USE  
NUCLEAR WEAPONS, PARTICULARLY IN NUCLEAR-WEAPON-FREE ZONES.  
COUNTRIES AND NUCLEAR-WEAPON-FREE ZONES- FOR EXAMPLE,  
THE SOVIET UNION SHOULD UNDERTAKE OBLIGATIONS IN  
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 USUN N 03570 08 OF 08 030239Z

RESPECT OF THE NUCLEAR -WEAPON-FREE ZONES IN LATIN  
AMERICA-- AND THEY MUST WITHDRAW FROM ABROAD ALL THEIR  
ARMED FORCES, INCLUDING NUCLEAR MISSILE FORCES, AND DISMANTLE  
ALL THEIR MILITARY BASES, INCLUDING NUCLEAR BASES, ON THE  
TERRITORIES OF OTHER COUNTRIES. ONLY THUS WILL IT BE POSSIBLE FOR  
ALL COUNTRIES, BIG AND SMALL ON AN EQUAL FOOTING, TO DISCUSS  
WITH EQUANIMITY AND SOLVE THE COMPLETE PROHIBITION AND  
THOROUGH DESTRUCTION OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS AND OTHER QUESTIONS FREE  
FROM ANY THREAT OF FORCE.

SOME PEOPLE CHARGE THAT CHINA'S POSITION ON THE DISARMAMENT  
QUESTION IS "EITHER ALL OR NOTHING". THIS IS A DISTORTION.  
ONE MAY ASK: WHAT DIFFICULTIES ARE THERE FOR NUCLEAR COUNTRIES  
FIRST OF ALL TO UNDERTAKE THE OBLIGATION, AS THE FIRST STEP  
TOWARDS NUCLEAR DISARMAMENT, THAT AT NO TIME AND IN NO  
CIRCUMSTANCES WILL THEY BE THE FIRST TO USE NUCLEAR WEAPONS,  
PARTICULARLY AGAINST NON-NUCLEAR COUNTRIES OR NUCLEAR-WEAPON-  
FREE ZONES? IS THIS NOT A TRULY EFFECTIVE FIRST STEP TOWARDS  
THE COMPLETE PROHIBITION THOROUGH DESTRUCTION OF NUCLEAR  
WEAPONS? BUT THESE DISARMAMENT-ADVOCATING "SAINTS" WOULD  
NOT EVEN AGREE TO UNDERTAKE THIS MINIMUM OBLIGATION. DOES  
THIS NOT SUFFICE TO SHOW THAT THEY ARE ACTUALLY IMPERIALISTS WHO  
PERSIST IN ARMS EXPANSION AND AGGRESSION, MENACING THE PEOPLE  
OF THE WORLD AND ASPIRING TO WORLD HEGEMONY?

MR PRESIDENT,

THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA IS TAKING PART IN THE  
ACTIVITIES OF THE UNITED NATIONS FOR THE THIRD YEAR. WE WISH  
TO SAY FRANKLY THAT WHAT WE HAVE EXPERIENCED IN THE UNITED NATIONS  
HAS CAUSED US TO BECOME WORRIED. SPEECHES ARE MULTIPLYING AND  
RESOLUTIONS PILING UP IN THE UNITED NATIONS, YET IT HAS NOT BEEN  
ABLE TO LOOK INTO MATTERS WHICH IT OUGHT TO (FOR INSTANCE, THE  
QUESTION OF THE PREVENTION OF NUCLEAR WAR) AND IS IMPOTENT  
IN THE SOLUTION OF MAJOR WORLD ISSUES (FOR INSTANCE, THE  
MIDDLE EAST QUESTION). IT THINKS TO CONTINUE THIS WAY, WHAT FUTURE  
IS THERE FOR THE UNITED NATIONS? HOWEVER, WE ARE NOT  
DISHEARTENED. WE BELIEVE THAT THE PRESENT CONDITIONS IN THE  
UNITED NATIONS SHOULD BE CHANGED AND THE CHARTER SHOULD BE REVISED.  
HOW CAN THE UNITED NATIONS GO ON WORKING IN THE SAME OLD WAY  
IT DID MORE THAN TWENTY YEARS AGO, WHEN THE WORLD HAS ALREADY  
CHANGED? THE THIRD WORLD HAS RISEN UP. THE UNITED NATIONS MUST  
BE ABLE TO GIVE EXPRESSION TO THE DESIRES OF THE NUMEROUS SMALL  
AND MEDIUM-SIZED COUNTRIES, TRULY GIVE EFFECT TO THE PRINCIPLE  
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 USUN N 03570 08 OF 08 030239Z

OF THE EQUALITY OF ALL COUNTRIES, BIG OR SMALL, AND CEASE TO BE  
CONTROLLED BY THE SUPERPOWERS AND THEIR SMALL NUMBERS OF FOLLOWERS  
IF IT IS TO BE WORTHY OF ITS NAME. CHINA IS READY TO WORK  
TOGETHER WITH ALL COUNTRIES WHICH LOVE PEACE AND UPHOLD JUSTICE  
FOR THE ACHIEVEMENT OF THIS NOBLE AIM.

THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT\*

UNQUOTE

SCALI

UNCLASSIFIED

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 11 MAY 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** MEETINGS, MEETING DELEGATIONS, SPEECHES  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 03 OCT 1973  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** n/a  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** n/a  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:**  
**Disposition Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1973USUNN03570  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** 11652 NA  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** USUN NY  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1973/newtext/t19731066/abqcelcb.tel  
**Line Count:** 1095  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** ACTION IO  
**Original Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 20  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** willialc  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 08 AUG 2001  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <08-Aug-2001 by reddocgw>; APPROVED <22-Aug-2001 by willialc>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** PRC SPEECH AT PLENARY MEETING OF 28TH SESSION OF UNGA  
**TAGS:** PFOR, OCON, CH, UN, UNGA, (CH'IAO KUAN-HUA)  
**To:** STATE INFO MOSCOW  
SEOUL  
TOKYO  
PEKING  
USCONSUL HONG KONG  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

